

# GPS and Relativity: How Einstein Helps Us Find Our Way Home

## QuarkNet Workshop


Rick Dower

Charles T. Bauer Professor, Emeritus

The Roxbury Latin School

2024

I often use a navigation app to estimate travel time to a destination.



My phone gets warm when I use a navigation app to track my progress.

Why?

My phone gets warm when I use a navigation app to track my progress.

Why?

How does a navigation app determine my phone location?

My phone gets warm when I use a navigation app to track my progress.

Why?

How does a navigation app determine my phone location?

**What is GPS?**

# Detour: Location, Location, Location

Street Address:	1 number locates a point on a line
Longitude ( $\lambda$ ), Latitude ( $\phi$ ):	2 numbers locate a point on a surface
$\lambda$ , $\phi$ , Altitude:	3 numbers locate a point in space

Numbers are measured from a reference frame coordinate origin and set of axes. For example, an Earth reference frame:  
origin at Earth's center, one axis through the crossing point of Prime Meridian and equator, one axis through the geographic N and S poles,  $\lambda$  from the Greenwich Prime Meridian (in the equatorial plane),  $\phi$  from the equator (North or South along a meridian), and Altitude above or below mean sea level (perpendicular to the geoid).

# Celestial Reference Frame

On 1 January, 1998, the International Astronomical Union established the non-rotating International Celestial Reference System, since realized by three successive International Celestial Reference Frames.


The barycenter (center of mass) of the Solar System is fixed with reference to many distant radio sources (mostly quasars) measured with milliarcsecond precision and corresponding optical sources measured by the Gaia satellite.

The most recent (1 January 2022) frame for specifying the position and motion of objects relative to the Solar System barycenter is specified by ICRF3 and Gaia-CRF3.

From the position of an object relative to Earth and the position of Earth relative to Gaia-CRF3, one can specify the position of the object relative to the stars.

# Locate an Unknown Point (1)


On a plane, if the distance from a known location ( $K_1$ ) to an unknown point ( $P$ ) is  $r_1$ , then  $P$  must be on a circle of radius  $r_1$  around  $K_1$ .






# Locate an Unknown Point (2)

Specifying a second known distance ( $r_2$ ) from a known location ( $K_2$ ) limits point P to one of two intersections.




# Locate an Unknown Point (3)

A third circle with known radius ( $r_3$ ) from a known location ( $K_3$ ) identifies the location of point P.



# Locate an Unknown Point (4)

In three dimensions, we replace the circles with spheres.




# Back to GPS: Global Positioning System

GPS (descended from the U. S. Navy's 1970s NAVSTAR system) is a constellation of at least 24 satellites (now 31, with spares).

Orbits are inclined 55 deg to Earth's equator at 4.2 Earth radii (26,580 km) from Earth center.

Orbital periods are 12 hours.

(Image from Wikipedia "GPS")



# GPS Hardware and App Functions (1)

- (1) Receive signals from at least 4 GPS satellites
- (2) Decode signals to read satellite locations
- (3) Calculate satellite distances
- (4) Calculate receiver position and clock offset
- (5) Display the calculated position on a local map

# GPS Hardware and App Functions (2)

- (1) Receive signals from at least 4 GPS satellites  
[We leave this to electronics engineers.]
- (2) Decode signals to read satellite locations
- (3) Calculate satellite distances
- (4) Calculate receiver position and clock offset
- (5) Display the calculated position on a local map

# GPS Hardware and App Functions (3)

- (1) Receive signals from at least 4 GPS satellites  
[We leave this to electronics engineers.]
- (2) Decode signals to read satellite locations  
[GPS satellites broadcast their positions, clock times, and ephemeris parameters. Decoding done thanks to software engineers.]
- (3) Calculate satellite distances
- (4) Calculate receiver position and clock offset
- (5) Display the calculated position on a local map

# GPS Hardware and App Functions (4)

- (1) Receive signals from at least 4 GPS satellites  
[We leave this to electronics engineers.]
- (2) Decode signals to read satellite locations  
[GPS satellites broadcast their positions, clock times, and ephemeris parameters. Decoding done thanks to software engineers.]
- (3) Calculate satellite distances
- (4) Calculate receiver position and clock offset  
[Continuous calculation for this math problem makes our phones warm.]
- (5) Display the calculated position on a local map



# GPS Hardware and App Functions (5)

- (1) Receive signals from at least 4 GPS satellites  
[We leave this to electronics engineers.]
- (2) Decode signals to read satellite locations  
[GPS satellites broadcast their positions, clock times, and ephemeris parameters. Decoding done thanks to software engineers.]
- (3) Calculate satellite distances
- (4) Calculate receiver position and clock offset  
[This math problem makes our phones warm.]
- (5) Display the calculated position on a local map  
[This we leave to our navigation app software.]

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (1)

(1) How do our navigation apps calculate receiver to GPS satellite distances?

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (2)

(1) How do our navigation apps calculate receiver to GPS satellite distances?

$$d = c(t_{\text{receiver}} - t_{\text{satellite}}), \quad c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s in vacuum}$$

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (3)

(1) How do our navigation apps calculate receiver to GPS satellite distances?

$$d = c(t_{\text{receiver}} - t_{\text{satellite}}), \quad c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s in vacuum}$$

(2) What effects must navigation apps account for to calculate accurate distances (+/- 10 m)?

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (4)

(1) How do our navigation apps calculate receiver to GPS satellite distances?

$$d = c(t_{\text{receiver}} - t_{\text{satellite}}), \quad c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s in vacuum}$$

(2) What effects must navigation apps account for to calculate accurate distances (+/- 10 m)?

- (a) Receiver clocks are not as precise as GPS satellite atomic clocks. Fourth satellite signal is needed to calculate receiver clock time offset and 3-d receiver position, *i. e.* 4 signals for 4 unknowns.

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (5)

(1) How do our navigation apps calculate receiver to GPS satellite distances?

$$d = c(t_{\text{receiver}} - t_{\text{satellite}}), \quad c = 299,792,458 \text{ m/s in vacuum}$$

(2) What effects must navigation apps account for to calculate accurate distances (+/- 10 m)?

- (a) Receiver clocks are not as precise as GPS satellite atomic clocks.  
Fourth satellite signal is needed to calculate receiver clock time offset and 3-d receiver position, *i. e.* 4 signals for 4 unknowns.
- (b) Ionosphere time delay can be corrected with two signal frequencies.  
Atmosphere time delay can be modeled with atmosphere model.

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (6)

But wait, there's more:

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:  
(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)

Question: Do GPS satellite clocks tick slower or faster than Earth clocks due to satellite motion relative to Earth?

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (7)

**But wait, there's more:**

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:  
**(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)**

**Question:** Do GPS satellite clocks tick slower or faster than Earth clocks due to satellite motion relative to Earth?

**Answer:** Time dilation for the moving GPS clocks yields a slower tick rate for GPS clocks than Earth clocks.



# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (8)

But wait, there's more:

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:  
(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)

Exercise: Calculate the orbital speed of GPS satellites in km/s and  $v/c$ .

$$(r_{\text{GPS}} = 26,580 \text{ km}, T_{\text{GPS}} = 12 \text{ hr})$$

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (9)

But wait, there's more:

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:  
(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)

Exercise: Calculate the orbital speed of GPS satellites in km/s and  $v/c$ .

$$(r_{\text{GPS}} = 26,580 \text{ km}, T_{\text{GPS}} = 12 \text{ hr})$$

$$\text{Answer: } v_{\text{GPS}} = \frac{2\pi(26580 \text{ km})}{43200 \text{ s}} = 3870 \text{ m/s} \quad v_{\text{GPS}}/c = 1.29 \times 10^{-5}$$

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (10)

But wait, there's more:

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:

(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)

(2) lower ambient gravity field in orbit (General Relativity).

Exercise: Calculate the ratio  $g_{\text{GPS}}/g_{\text{Earth}}$ .

[ $r_{\text{Earth}} = 6380 \text{ km}$ ,  $\text{altitude}_{\text{GPS}} = 20200 \text{ km}$ ,  $r_{\text{GPS}} = 26580 \text{ km} = 4.17 r_{\text{Earth}}$ ]

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (11)

But wait, there's more:

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:

(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)

(2) lower ambient gravity field in orbit (General Relativity).

Exercise: Calculate the ratio  $g_{\text{GPS}}/g_{\text{Earth}}$ .

$$\text{Answer: } g_{\text{GPS}}/g_{\text{Earth}} = (r_{\text{Earth}}/r_{\text{GPS}})^2 = (6380 \text{ km}/26580 \text{ km})^2 = (0.24)^2 = 0.0576 \\ = 1/17.4$$

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (12)

**But wait, there's more:**

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:

(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)

(2) lower ambient gravity field in orbit (General Relativity).

**Question: Do GPS satellite clocks tick slower or faster than Earth clocks due to lower gravity field at GPS altitude than on Earth?**

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (13)

**But wait, there's more:**

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:

(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)

(2) lower ambient gravity field in orbit (General Relativity).

Question: Do GPS satellite clocks tick slower or faster than Earth clocks due to lower gravity field at GPS altitude than on Earth?

Answer: GPS clocks tick faster than Earth clocks due to their lower gravity field .

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (14)

**But wait, there's more:**

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:

(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)

(2) lower ambient gravity field in orbit (General Relativity).

Question: How significant are the relativity effects?

Question: Which of the two relativity effects is larger?

# Receiver-to-Satellite Distances (15)

**But wait, there's more:**

Corrections are made to GPS satellite clock frequencies due to:

(1) orbital motion relative to Earth (Special Relativity)

(2) lower ambient gravity field in orbit (General Relativity).

If relativity corrections were not applied to the GPS satellite clocks, the error rate for navigation apps would be 7.3 miles/day = 11.7 km/day.



# Combined Relativity Effects Are Large

Special Relativity effect  $>$  General Relativity effect?

Special Relativity effect  $<$  General Relativity effect?

TBD - after a BREAK!

Einstein did not invent relativity!

# Galileo Galilei (1564 – 1642)



# Galilean Relativity

Galileo is generally acknowledged to be the first to propose the relativity principle. As he says in his “Note to the Discerning Reader” in his *Dialogue the Two Chief World Systems*,

I shall try to show that all experiments practicable upon the earth are insufficient measures for proving its mobility, since they are indifferently adaptable to an earth in motion or at rest.

[Such experiments do not prove that Earth is at rest. Earth could be moving.]

(G. Galilei, *Dialogue Concerning the Two Chief World Systems*, S. Drake, trans., University of California Press, Berkley, 1962, p. 6.)

# Galilean Relativity – Galileo's Thought Experiment

“Shut yourself up with some friend in the main cabin below decks on some large ship, and have with you there some flies, butterflies, and other small flying animals.

# Galilean Relativity – Galileo's Thought Experiment

Shut yourself up with some friend in the main cabin below decks on some large ship, and have with you there some flies, butterflies, and other small flying animals. **Have a large bowl of water with some fish in it; hang up a bottle that empties drop by drop into a wide vessel beneath it.**

# Galilean Relativity – Galileo's Thought Experiment

Shut yourself up with some friend in the main cabin below decks on some large ship, and have with you there some flies, butterflies, and other small flying animals. Have a large bowl of water with some fish in it; hang up a bottle that empties drop by drop into a wide vessel beneath it. **With the ship standing still, observe carefully how the little animals fly with equal speed to all sides of the cabin. The fish swim indifferently in all directions, the drops fall into the vessel beneath;**

# Galilean Relativity – Galileo's Thought Experiment

Shut yourself up with some friend in the main cabin below decks on some large ship, and have with you there some flies, butterflies, and other small flying animals. Have a large bowl of water with some fish in it; hang up a bottle that empties drop by drop into a wide vessel beneath it. With the ship standing still, observe carefully how the little animals fly with equal speed to all sides of the cabin. The fish swim indifferently in all directions, the drops fall into the vessel beneath; **and in throwing something to your friend, you need throw it no more strongly in one direction than in another, the distances being equal; jumping with your feet together, you pass equal spaces in every direction.**



# Galilean Relativity – Galileo’s Thought Experiment

When you have observed all these things carefully..., have the ship proceed with any speed you like, so long as the motion is uniform and not fluctuating this way and that. **You will discover not the least change in all the effects named, nor could you tell from any of them whether the ship was moving or standing still.”** (Emphasis added)

(G. Galilei, *Dialogue Concerning the Two Chief World Systems*, S. Drake, trans., University of California Press, Berkley, 1962, p. 186-187.)

# Public Experimental Test in 1641

From the official record of the demonstration:

**Mr. Gassendi**, always having been curious to seek to justify by experiments the truth of the speculations proposed to him by philosophy and finding himself in Marseilles with his Lordship the Count of Allais in the year 1641, **demonstrated, on a galley which set out to sea** designedly by order of this Prince,... **that a stone dropped from the very top of the mast, while the galley is sailing with all force and speed possible, will not fall in any other spot than it would if this same galley were stopped and immobile.**

(Emphasis added)

(R. Dugas, *Mechanics in the Seventeenth Century*, F. Jacquot, trans., Éditions du Griffon, Neuchatel, 1958, p. 110. Quoted in A. Koyre, *Metaphysics and Measurement*, Harvard University Press., Cambridge, 1968, pp. 126-127.)

# Galilean Relativity

Mechanical experiments, like Galileo's proposed thought experiments and Gassendi's falling stone, cannot distinguish between reference frames at rest and reference frames moving with constant velocity relative to a frame at rest.


Galileo argued that Earth could be moving, contrary to Aristotle, without our being able to recognize it. He guessed that the effects of circular motion would be too small to notice.

# Galilean Transformations

Galilean transformations relate measurements of event coordinates (time and position) in two inertial reference frames moving at constant velocity relative to each other. Time is assumed to be universal.

Origins and axes overlap at time  $t = t' = 0$ , after which  $t = t'$ .

The Rocket system  $(t', x', y', z')$  moves with constant velocity  $v_R$  in the +x-direction of the Lab system  $(t, x, y, z)$ .



Galilean Transformations:

$$t = t', \quad x = x' + v_R t', \quad y = y', \quad z = z'$$

# Isaac Newton (1642 – 1727)



# Newtonian Relativity In Mechanics

Absolute, true, and mathematical time ... flows equably without relation to anything external.

Absolute space ... without relation to anything external remains always similar [homogeneous] and immovable.

(I. Newton, *Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy*, A. Motte, trans., revised by F. Cajori, University of California Press, Berkeley, 1962, p. 6.)

Newton acknowledged that absolute time and space are not observable. We make measurements of what he termed “relative, apparent, or common time” compared to reference clocks and “relative space” compared to observable objects.

# Newtonian Relativity In Mechanics

Reference frames in which Newton's inertia law (Law I) holds are called **inertial reference frames**.

Any reference frame moving with constant vector velocity relative to an inertial reference frame is also an inertial frame.

Or, as Newton stated in Corollary V of his Laws of Motion:

“The motions of bodies included in a given space are the same among themselves, whether that space is at rest, or moves forwards in a right line without any circular motion.” (I. Newton, *ibid.* p.20.)

# Newtonian Relativity In Mechanics

Newton extended this idea in Corollary VI to include frames in which all bodies are moved with equal acceleration in straight lines, as in gravitational free fall approximated in the International Space Station.



# How non-inertial are we?

- Earth rotation:  $T_E = 86164 \text{ s}$  (sidereal day)  
Time is to change direction of motion by 1 degree due to Earth spin = ?
- Earth orbit around Sun:  $T_{Eorb} = 3.16 \times 10^7 \text{ s} = 365.243 \text{ days} = 1 \text{ yr}$   
Time is to change direction of motion by 1 degree due to Earth orbit = ?
- Sun orbit around Galaxy:  $T_{Sorb} = 7.14 \times 10^{15} \text{ s} = 2.26 \times 10^8 \text{ yr}$   
Time is to change direction of motion by 1 degree due to solar system Galactic orbit = ?

Calculate one of these approximate times.

# How non-inertial are we?

- Earth rotation:  $T_E = 86164 \text{ s}$  (sidereal day)  
Time is to change direction of motion by 1 degree due to Earth spin = 4 minutes
- Earth orbit around Sun:  $T_{Eorb} = 3.16 \times 10^7 \text{ s} = 365.243 \text{ days} = 1 \text{ yr}$   
Time is to change direction of motion by 1 degree due to Earth orbit = 1 day
- Sun orbit around Galaxy:  $T_{Sorb} = 7.14 \times 10^{15} \text{ s} = 2.26 \times 10^8 \text{ yr}$   
Time is to change direction of motion by 1 degree due to solar system Galactic orbit =  $6.3 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$

# How non-inertial are we?

- Earth rotation:  $r_E = 6.38 \times 10^6 \text{ m}$   $T_E = 86164 \text{ s}$  (sidereal day)  
 $v_0 = 465 \text{ m/s}$  at equator  
 $a_0 = ?$
- Earth orbit around Sun:  $r_{\text{Eorb}} = 1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m} = 1 \text{ AU}$   $T_{\text{Eorb}} = 3.16 \times 10^7 \text{ s} = 1 \text{ yr}$   
 $v_{\text{Eorb}} = 29.8 \text{ km/s} = 3 \times 10^4 \text{ m/s}$   
 $a_{\text{Eorb}} = ?$
- Sun orbit around Galaxy:  $r_{\text{Sorb}} = 2.53 \times 10^{20} \text{ m} = 2.67 \times 10^4 \text{ ly}$   
 $T_{\text{Sorb}} = 7.14 \times 10^{15} \text{ s} = 2.26 \times 10^8 \text{ yr}$   
 $v_{\text{Sorb}} = 223 \text{ km/s} = 2.23 \times 10^5 \text{ m/s}$   
 $a_{\text{Sorb}} = ?$

Calculate one of these centripetal acceleration values.

# How non-inertial are we?

- Earth rotation:  
 $r_E = 6.38 \times 10^6 \text{ m}$        $T_E = 86164 \text{ s}$  (sidereal day)  
 $v_0 = 465 \text{ m/s}$  at equator  
 $a_0 = 0.034 \text{ m/s}^2$
- Earth orbit around Sun:  
 $r_{\text{Eorb}} = 1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m} = 1 \text{ AU}$      $T_{\text{Eorb}} = 3.16 \times 10^7 \text{ s} = 1 \text{ yr}$   
 $v_{\text{Eorb}} = 29.8 \text{ km/s} = 3 \times 10^4 \text{ m/s}$   
 $a_{\text{Eorb}} = 0.006 \text{ m/s}^2 = 6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m/s}^2$
- Sun orbit around Galaxy:  
 $r_{\text{Sorb}} = 2.53 \times 10^{20} \text{ m} = 2.67 \times 10^4 \text{ ly}$   
 $T_{\text{Sorb}} = 7.14 \times 10^{15} \text{ s} = 2.26 \times 10^8 \text{ yr}$   
 $v_{\text{Sorb}} = 223 \text{ km/s} = 2.23 \times 10^5 \text{ m/s}$   
 $a_{\text{Sorb}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$

# How non-inertial are we?

We on Earth are

(1) spinning daily,

(2) revolving yearly, and

(3) orbiting Galactically,


as illustrated in this short [video](#)

( <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fJuaPyQFrYk> ).

## Is any observed reference frame inertial?

# CMB Reference Frame

The Cosmic Microwave Background radiation (CMB), a remnant of the Big Bang, provides our closest approximation to an inertial frame and our motion relative to it, as shown in the plot of the CMB intensity over whole sky below.



The Galactic equator stretches left to right through the plot center.

Red and orange indicate slightly (few parts per thousand) more intense regions in the direction of solar motion. Violet and blue indicate slightly less intense regions in the opposite direction.

The solar system's **370 km/s motion** through the CMB is toward the constellation Crater near the border of Leo and Virgo in the upper right quadrant of the plot.

Solar system motion through the CMB is nearly opposite in direction to solar motion around the Galaxy.

# A 19<sup>th</sup> Century Question from Optics

Could the luminiferous (*i. e.* light-bearing) aether serve as a stationary (inertial) reference frame in absolute space?

(Note: “Aether” is often spelled “ether.” I use “aether” to correspond to the original Greek spelling and to avoid confusion with chemical ethers, *e. g.*  $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_3$ .)

# Luminiferous Aether as a Mechanical Medium

**Christiaan Huygens (1629-1695)**



**Amsterdam Shop Wall**





# Huygens' Aether (1)

In *Treatise on Light* (1690), Christiaan Huygens emphasized the analogy between light and sound:

“[W]hen one considers the extreme speed with which light spreads on every side, and how, when it comes from different regions, even those directly opposite, the rays traverse one another without hindrance,

## Huygens' Aether (2)

In his *Treatise on Light* (1690), Christiaan Huygens emphasized the analogy between light and sound:

“[W]hen one considers the extreme speed with which light spreads on every side, and how, when it comes from different regions, even those directly opposite, the rays traverse one another without hindrance, **one may well understand that when we see a luminous object, it cannot be by any transport of matter coming to us from this object. . . .**

# Huygens' Aether (3)

In his *Treatise on Light* (1690), Christiaan Huygens emphasized the analogy between light and sound:

“[W]hen one considers the extreme speed with which light spreads on every side, and how, when it comes from different regions, even those directly opposite, the rays traverse one another without hindrance, one may well understand that when we see a luminous object, it cannot be my any transport of matter coming to us from this object. . . .

**It will follow that this movement, impressed on the intervening matter, is successive; and consequently it spreads, as Sound does, by spherical surfaces and waves”**

(C. Huygens, *Treatise on Light*, S. Thompson, trans., Dover Publications, New York, 1962, pp. 3-4.)

## Huygens' Aether (4)

**“[T]his matter. . .in which the movement coming from the luminous body is propagated, which I call Ethereal matter, . . . is not the same that serves for the propagation of Sound.”**

(C. Huygens, *Treatise on Light*, p. 11.)

Huygens conceived light vibrations as longitudinal vibrations due to elastic particle collisions in analogy to sound vibrations in air, but he noted that the aethereal matter must exist in vacuum spaces at the tops of barometers and in the region between Earth, Sun, and stars.

# Luminiferous Aether (1)

After a century of acceptance of Newton's particle model of light propagation, the revival of the wave model of light by Thomas Young (1803) to explain double-source interference phenomena and by Augustin-Jean Fresnel (1815-1818) to explain diffraction led to the revival of the idea of an aether medium to carry light vibrations.

# Luminiferous Aether (2)

James Clerk Maxwell (1864) developed a theory of electromagnetic (EM) vibrations in the aether in which the speed EM wave speed equaled the

speed of light:  $c = \sqrt{\frac{2k_C}{k_A}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}} \cong 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$ .

$k_C = 9 \times 10^9 \text{ N m}^2/\text{C}^2$  is the constant in Coulomb's Law,  
 $k_A = 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ N/A}^2$  is the constant in Ampere's Law.

Maxwell identified the EM waves as vibrations electric and magnetic fields in an aether at rest.

Heinrich Hertz (1887-1888) demonstrated the existence of Maxwell's electromagnetic waves and confirmed they traveled at speed of light.

# Problems with a Mechanical Aether (1)

A mechanical aether must fill astronomical space, which suggested that it was an expansive fluid.

# Problems with a Mechanical Aether (2)

A mechanical aether must fill astronomical space, which suggested that it was an expansive fluid.

**But fluids support longitudinal waves, not transverse waves (except on surfaces, *e. g.* water waves). Light waves, as indicated by polarization phenomena, are transverse waves.**

**Solids, with strong interactions between particles, support transverse waves.**

**But how could the aether be solid?**



# Problems with a Mechanical Aether (3)

A mechanical aether must be highly rigid to support the extreme speed of light.

# Problems with a Mechanical Aether (4)

A mechanical aether must be highly rigid to support the extreme speed of light.

**But aether must have nearly zero resistance to the passage of matter and nearly zero viscosity to account for the apparently unimpeded motions of moons, planets, stars.**

# Problems with a Mechanical Aether (5)

Aether must be stationary relative to the Sun with Earth moving through it to account for Bradley's observation of stellar aberration.

# Problems with a Mechanical Aether (6)

Aether must be stationary relative to the Sun with Earth moving through it to account for Bradley's observation of stellar aberration.

**But aether must be stationary relative to Earth to account for the null result of Michelson-Morley experiment.**

These results are contradictory!

How were they obtained?

# Stellar Aberration (1)

## **Vertical Telescope fixed to a Chimney**

In 1725-1728, astronomer James Bradley and Samuel Molyneux observed  $\gamma$  Draconis, a bright star near the ecliptic pole that passed nearly overhead in London, to search for stellar parallax, which they did not find. Bradley, however, discovered aberration, the apparent change in a star's direction due to Earth's motion.


## **James Bradley (1692-1762)**



# Stellar Aberration (2)

Bradley found that the shift in the apparent north-south position of  $\gamma$  Draconis (and near-by stars) was greatest in March and September. A parallax shift would have been greatest in June and December. The maximum shift of  $20.2''$  indicated that

$c = v_{\text{Earth}} / \tan 20.2'' = 3.06 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$ ,  
and indicated, in the 19<sup>th</sup> century wave theory, that Earth traveled through the aether.



# Stellar Aberration (3)

Bradley's measurement confirmed and made more precise the speed of light measurement based on Ole Rømer's observations, in the 1670s, of the eclipse times of the Galilean moon Io as it orbited Jupiter.

Rømer estimated that light traveled from Sun to Earth in 11 minutes. Bradley's measurement indicated that the travel time was close to 8 minutes. Modern measurement give the travel time as nearly  $500 \text{ s} = 8 \text{ minutes}, 20 \text{ seconds}$ .

# Michelson-Morley Experiment (1)

**Albert Michelson (1852-1931)**  
**Nobel Prize in Physics (1907)**



**Edward Morley (1838-1923)**  
**AAAS President (1895)**





# Michelson-Morley Experiment (2)

## Theory with Mechanical Analogy

Airplanes A and B with airspeeds  $c$ , start at point O at the same time. They fly perpendicular routes of length  $L$  to points A and B, respectively, turn around quickly, and return to O.


Wind (speed =  $v$ ) blows in direction from A to O.

Which plane returns to point O first?

$$t_{OAO} = ?$$

$$t_{OBO} = ?$$

**Take time to work this out.**



# Michelson-Morley Experiment (3)


## Theory with Wind

Round trip time for both planes in still air ( $v = 0$ ):  $t_0 = \frac{2L}{c}$

With wind blowing ( $c > v$ ):

$$t_{\text{OAO}} = \frac{L}{c-v} + \frac{L}{c+v} = \frac{L((c+v)+L(c-v))}{(c-v)(c+v)} = \frac{2Lc}{(c^2-v^2)} = \left(\frac{2L}{c}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}\right)$$

$$t_{\text{OBO}} = \frac{L}{\sqrt{(c^2-v^2)}} + \frac{L}{\sqrt{(c^2-v^2)}} = \frac{2L}{\sqrt{(c^2-v^2)}} = \left(\frac{2L}{c}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}}\right)$$




So,  $t_{\text{OBO}} < t_{\text{OAO}}$ , and airplane B returns to O first.

# Michelson-Morley Experiment (4)

Albert Michelson hoped to detect Earth's motion through the aether with an interferometer that compared the travel times of two perpendicular light beams. The beams were sent on perpendicular paths and recombined to produce a pattern of interference fringes (pattern of vertical bright and dark regions). Not knowing the direction of the presumed aether wind produced by Earth's orbital (and rotational) motion, Michelson rotated his interferometer and looked for a shift in the fringe pattern.


# Michelson-Morley Experiment (5)

In 1887 Michelson built a refined version of the interferometer he had developed in Germany. Optical elements and viewing telescope were mounted on a stone block 0.3 m thick and 1.5 m square resting on a wooden ring that floated on mercury contained in an iron collar. This ensured minimal vibration and allowed smooth rotation.



# Michelson-Morley Experiment (6)

With the light beams reflecting from several mirrors, the light path length ( $L$ ) in each of the perpendicular arm was  $\sim 11$  m. When brought together in the viewing telescope, the two beams produced interference fringes of vertical light and dark bands. The apparatus was slowly rotated to observe the expected 0.4 fringe shift.



# Michelson-Morley Experiment (7)

Much to Michelson's surprise, there was no apparent fringe shift.


(Figures and text from *Am J. Sci.* **203**, XXXIV, 1887, pp.333-345.)

Results were incompatible with the existence of an aetherial medium for light.

As late as 1927, Michelson wondered, "without a medium [aether] how can the propagation of light waves be explained?"

(*Studies in Optics*, p. 161.)

The results of the observations are expressed graphically in fig. 6. The upper is the curve for the observations at noon, and the lower that for the evening observations. The dotted curves represent *one-eighth* of the theoretical displacements. It seems fair to conclude from the figure that if there is any dis-



placement due to the relative motion of the earth and the luminiferous ether, this cannot be much greater than 0.01 of the distance between the fringes.

# Initial Efforts at Reconciliation (1)

George FitzGerald (1889) proposed (*ad hoc*) that objects might shrink in the direction of motion through the aether by the appropriate ratio  $\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}$ , where  $v$  = speed of Earth in orbit and  $c$  = speed of light), or, perhaps, the dimensions of objects transverse to motion through the aether were expanded by an appropriate fraction.

“I would suggest that almost the only hypothesis that could reconcile this opposition [of aberration and the M-M experiment] is that the lengths of material bodies changes according as they are moving through the ether or across it, by an amount depending on the square of the ratio of their velocities to that of light.” (*Science* **13**, p. 390, (1889), quoted in *Am. J. Phys.* **69**, p. 1048, (2001).)


# Initial Efforts at Reconciliation (2)

## Lorentz Transformations

From 1892 to 1904, Lorentz developed a theory of electromagnetic interactions that indicated moving systems do appear to shrink relative to stationary observers by the factor  $1/\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}$ , and “local time” in the moving system appears to slow by the inverse factor compared to “real time” in the stationary system.

*(The Principle of Relativity, 1923, Dover Publications, New York, p. 13.)*

## Hendrik Lorentz (1853-1928)





# Initial Efforts at Reconciliation (3)

## Electromagnetic Relativity

In 1905 Henri Poincaré showed that Maxwell's equations are the same for reference frames related by what he called "Lorentz transformations." He maintained Lorentz's distinction between "real time" in the stationary system and "local time" in the moving system.

## Henri Poincaré (1854-1912)



# Albert Einstein's Special Relativity (1)

In 1905, in his paper “On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies” Einstein independently derived the Lorentz transformations from two simple assumptions:

# Albert Einstein's Special Relativity (2)

In 1905, in his paper “On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies” Einstein independently derived the Lorentz transformations from two simple assumptions:

**(1) “the Principle of Relativity,” *i. e.***

**All physics laws remain the same in each inertial reference frame,**

# Albert Einstein's Special Relativity (3)

In 1905, in his paper “On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies” Einstein independently derived the Lorentz transformations from two simple assumptions:

(1) “the Principle of Relativity,” *i. e.*

All physics laws remain the same in each inertial reference frame, and

**(2) “light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity  $c$  which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body.”**

[NOTE: In his next paper on relativity, Einstein noted “The principle of the constancy of the velocity of light [in vacuum] is of course contained in Maxwell’s equations.” Since 1983,  $c \equiv 299,792,458$  m/s.]

# Albert Einstein's Special Relativity (4)

In 1905, in his paper “On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies” Einstein independently derived the Lorentz transformations from two simple assumptions:

(1) “the Principle of Relativity,” *i. e.*

All physics laws remain the same in each inertial reference frame,  
and

(2) “light is always propagated in empty space with a definite velocity  $c$  which is independent of the state of motion of the emitting body.”

Consequently,

**“[t]he introduction of a ‘luminiferous ether’ will prove superfluous.”**

*(The Principle of Relativity, 1923, Dover Publications, New York, pp. 37-48.)*

# Lorentz Transformations


Many AP physics texts give formulas for applying results of Lorentz transformations, *e. g.* length contraction and time dilation, but they don't give the reasoning that leads to the transformations.

There are many ways to derive the Lorentz transformations. The path found in *Spacetime Physics* by Edwin Taylor and John Wheeler, is particularly clear.

We will follow that derivation after a BREAK.

# Lorentz Transformations (1)

Inertial Reference Frames: origins and axes overlap at  $t = t' = 0$ .



Lab frame is stationary. Rocket frame moves with speed  $v_R$  in +x-direction.

Units are chosen so that  $c = 1$ ,

*e. g.* distance in m and time in light-meters,

where 1 light-m =  $(1 \text{ m})/c = 3.3 \text{ ns}$ ,  $v_R < 1$  is measured as a fraction of  $c$ .

If we know the coordinates (time and position) of an event that occurs in either the Lab or Rocket frame, we want transformation equations that allow us to compute the coordinates of that event as viewed in the other frame.

# Lorentz Transformations (2)

Starting from the relativity principle, we can derive three corollaries.

Corollary 1: The speed of light in vacuum ( $c$ ) is the same in all inertial reference frames.

If we accept Maxwell's laws of electromagnetism as laws of physics, and they predict the speed of light in vacuum ( $c$ ).

Then, by the relativity principle,  $c$  is the same in all inertial frames.

**Example**: The speed of a light beam emitted by a rocket traveling at  $v_R = 0.5 c$  would be  $c$  when measured in the Rocket frame and  $c$  when measured in the Lab frame.

**This takes some getting used to before it becomes intuitive.**



# Lorentz Transformations (3)

Corollary 2: The values of event coordinates transverse (perpendicular) to the direction of relative motion of two reference frames are invariant, e. g. Rocket frame moves in the  $x$ -direction of the Lab frame,  $y = y'$  and  $z = z'$ .

*Reductio ad absurdum* argument:

Suppose transverse coordinates were not invariant but shrunk in the moving system. Consider a train car with wheels separated by distance  $W$  in its rest frame at rest on rails separated by distance  $R$  in the rail rest frame.

**For the train at rest, let  $W = R$ .**

# Lorentz Transformations (4)

Corollary 2: The values of event coordinates transverse (perpendicular) to the direction of relative motion of two reference frames are invariant, e. g. Rocket frame moves in the x-direction of the Lab frame,  $y = y'$  and  $z = z'$ .

*Reductio ad absurdum* argument:

Suppose transverse coordinates were not invariant but shrunk in the moving system. Consider a train car with wheels separated by distance  $W$  in its rest frame at rest on rails separated by distance  $R$  in the rail rest frame.

For the train at rest, let  $W = R$ .

**When the train started moving at sufficient speed relative to the rails, an observer standing by the rails would see  $W$  shrink and the train wheels fall off inside the rails.**

# Lorentz Transformations (5)

Corollary 2: The values of event coordinates transverse (perpendicular) to the direction of relative motion of two reference frames are invariant, e. g. Rocket frame moves in the x-direction of the Lab frame,  $y = y'$  and  $z = z'$ .

*Reductio ad absurdum* argument:

Suppose transverse coordinates were not invariant but shrunk in the moving system. Consider a train car with wheels separated by distance  $W$  in its rest frame at rest on rails separated by distance  $R$  in the rail rest frame.

For the train at rest, let  $W = R$ .

**However, an observer standing in the train car would see  $R$  shrink and the wheels fall off outside the rails.**

# Lorentz Transformations (6)

Corollary 2: The values of event coordinates transverse (perpendicular) to the direction of relative motion of two reference frames are invariant, e. g. Rocket frame moves in the x-direction of the Lab frame,  $y = y'$  and  $z = z'$ .

*Reductio ad absurdum* argument:

Suppose transverse coordinates were not invariant but shrunk in the moving system. Consider a train car with wheels separated by distance  $W$  in its rest frame at rest on rails separated by distance  $R$  in the rail rest frame.


For the train at rest, let  $W = R$ .

**Contradictory results prove the original premise was incorrect.  
Therefore, transverse coordinates are invariant.**

# Lorentz Transformations (7)

Corollary 3: The spacetime interval between events is invariant,  
*i. e.*  $t^2 - x^2 = t'^2 - x'^2 = \tau^2 = (\text{proper time})^2$  is invariant.

Consider a light flash emitted from the Rocket frame origin at Event 0  $(t',x',y',z')=(t,x,y,z)=(0,0,0,0)$  and received at Event 1  $(t'_1,x'_1,y'_1,0), (t_1,x_1,y_1,0)$ . The light beam paths in the Lab and Rocket frames are drawn.




Origins and axes of the frames coincide at Event 0.  
Rocket frame moves in x-direction at speed  $v_R$ .

Since  $c = 1$  in both frames, **(light travel time)<sup>2</sup> = (light path length)<sup>2</sup>** in both.

# Lorentz Transformations (8)

Corollary 3: The spacetime interval between events is invariant,  
*e. g.*  $t^2 - x^2 = t'^2 - x'^2 = \tau^2 = (\text{proper time})^2$  is invariant.

Consider a light flash emitted from the Rocket frame origin at Event 0  $(t', x', y', z') = (t, x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$  and received at Event 1  $(t'_1, x'_1, y'_1, 0)$ ,  $(t_1, x_1, y_1, 0)$ . The light beam paths in the Lab and Rocket frames are drawn.



Origins and axes of the frames coincide at Event 0.  
 Rocket frame moves in  $x$ -direction at speed  $v_R$ .


Since  $c = 1$  in both frames,  $(\text{light travel time})^2 = (\text{light path length})^2$  in both.

Then  $t_1^2 = x_1^2 + y_1^2$  and  $t'_1{}^2 = x'_1{}^2 + y'_1{}^2$ . So,  $t_1^2 - x_1^2 = y_1^2$  and  $t'_1{}^2 - x'_1{}^2 = y'_1{}^2$ .

# Lorentz Transformations (9)

Corollary 3: The spacetime interval between events is invariant,  
*e. g.*  $t^2 - x^2 = t'^2 - x'^2 = \tau^2 = (\text{proper time})^2$  is invariant.

Consider a light flash emitted from the Rocket frame origin at Event 0  $(t', x', y', z') = (t, x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$  and received at Event 1  $(t'_1, x'_1, y'_1, 0)$ ,  $(t_1, x_1, y_1, 0)$ . The light beam paths in the Lab and Rocket frames are drawn.



Origins and axes of the frames coincide at Event 0.  
 Rocket frame moves in x-direction at speed  $v_R$ .


Since  $c = 1$  in both frames,  $(\text{light travel time})^2 = (\text{light path length})^2$  in both.

Then  $t_1^2 = x_1^2 + y_1^2$  and  $t'_1{}^2 = x'_1{}^2 + y'_1{}^2$ . So,  $t_1^2 - x_1^2 = y_1^2$  and  $t'_1{}^2 - x'_1{}^2 = y'_1{}^2$ .

But  $y_1^2 = y'_1{}^2$  (invariant). **Therefore,  $t_1^2 - x_1^2 = t'_1{}^2 - x'_1{}^2 = \text{invariant}$ .**

# Lorentz Transformations (10)

Inertial Reference Frames: origins and axes overlap at  $t = t' = 0$ .



Lab frame is stationary. Rocket frame moves with speed  $v_R$  in +x-direction.

Units are chosen so that  $c = 1$ ,

*e. g.* distance in m and time in light-meters,


where 1 light-m =  $(1 \text{ m})/c = 3.3 \text{ ns}$ ,  $v_R < 1$  is measured as a fraction of  $c$ .

Assume that space is homogeneous and isotropic. So, the speed of light ( $c$ ) is the same at every location and in every direction.



# Lorentz Transformations (11)

Inertial Reference Frames: origins and axes overlap at  $t = t' = 0$ .



Lab frame is stationary. Rocket frame moves with speed  $v_R$  in +x-direction.

Units are chosen so that  $c = 1$ ,  
*e. g.* distance in m and time in light-meters,

where 1 light-m =  $(1 \text{ m})/c = 3.3 \text{ ns}$ ,  $v_R < 1$  is measured as a fraction of  $c$ .


Assume the transformation equations are linear of the form

$$t = at' + bx' \text{ and } x = ex' + ft' .$$

This guarantees one-to-one correspondence between points in the reference frames.

# Lorentz Transformations (12)

Inertial Reference Frames: origins and axes overlap at  $t = t' = 0$ .



Lab frame is stationary. Rocket frame moves with speed  $v_R$  in +x-direction.

Units are chosen so that  $c = 1$ ,  
*e. g.* distance in m and time in light-meters,

where 1 light-m =  $(1 \text{ m})/c = 3.3 \text{ ns}$ ,  $v_R < 1$  is measured as a fraction of  $c$ .


Assume the transformation equations are linear of the form

$$t = at' + bx' \text{ and } x = ex' + ft' .$$

**Coefficients  $a, b, e, f$  may depend on  $v_R$  but not on coordinates  $x'$  or  $t'$ .**

# Lorentz Transformations (13)

Inertial Reference Frames: origins and axes overlap at  $t = t' = 0$ .



Lab frame is stationary. Rocket frame moves with speed  $v_R$  in +x-direction.

Units are chosen so that  $c = 1$ ,  
*e. g.* distance in m and time in light-meters,

where 1 light-m =  $(1 \text{ m})/c = 3.3 \text{ ns}$ ,  $v_R < 1$  is measured as a fraction of  $c$ .

Assume the transformation equations are linear of the form


$$t = at' + bx' \text{ and } x = ex' + ft' .$$

Coefficients  $a, b, e, f$  may depend on  $v_R$  but not on coordinates  $x'$  or  $t'$ .

**We need 4 equations to solve for the 4 unknown coefficients.**

# Lorentz Transformations (14)

Inertial Reference Frames: origins and axes overlap at  $t = t' = 0$ .



Lab frame is stationary. Rocket frame moves with speed  $v_R$  in +x-direction.

Units are chosen so that  $c = 1$ .

$$t = at' + bx' \text{ and } x = ex' + ft'$$

Consider a light flash emitted from the Lab frame origin in +x-direction at  $(t, x, y, z) = (t', x', y', z') = (0, 0, 0, 0)$  and received at Event A  $(t_A, x_A, 0, 0)$ ,  $(t'_A, x'_A, 0, 0)$ .

For a light flash,  $t_A = x_A$ . Thus,  $at'_A + bx'_A = ex'_A + ft'_A$ .

Since  $x'_A = t'_A$ , we have


$$at'_A + bt'_A = et'_A + ft'_A$$

Dividing by  $t'_A$  gives us

$$a + b = e + f. \quad (\text{Eqn. 1})$$

# Lorentz Transformations (15)

Inertial Reference Frames: origins and axes overlap at  $t = t' = 0$ .



Lab frame is stationary. Rocket frame moves with speed  $v_R$  in +x-direction.

Units are chosen so that  $c = 1$ .

$$t = at' + bx' \text{ and } x = ex' + ft'$$

Consider a light flash emitted from the Lab frame origin in  $-x$ -direction at  $(t, x, y, z) = (t', x', y', z') = (0, 0, 0, 0)$  and received at Event B  $(t_B, x_B, 0, 0)$ ,  $(t'_B, x'_B, 0, 0)$ .

For a light flash,  $t_B = -x_B$ . Thus,  $at'_B + bx'_B = -(ex'_B + ft'_B)$ .

Since  $t'_B = -x'_B$ , we have

$$at'_B - bt'_B = -(-et'_B + ft'_B).$$

Dividing by  $t'_B$  gives us

$$a - b = e - f. \quad (\text{Eqn. 2})$$

# Lorentz Transformations (16)


$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(Eqn. 1) + (Eqn. 2):} \\ \begin{array}{r} a + b = e + f \\ a - b = e - f \\ \hline 2a = 2e \end{array} \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a = e \quad \text{(Eqn. 3)}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(Eqn. 1) - (Eqn. 2):} \\ \begin{array}{r} a + b = e + f \\ -[(a - b) = (e - f)] \\ \hline 2b = 2f \end{array} \end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad b = f \quad \text{(Eqn. 4)}$$

We are half-way there!

# Lorentz Transformations (17)

Inertial Reference Frames: origins and axes overlap at  $t = t' = 0$ .



Lab frame is stationary. Rocket frame moves with speed  $v_R$  in +x-direction.

Units are chosen so that  $c = 1$ .

$$t = at' + bx' \text{ and } x = ex' + ft'$$

The origin of the Rocket frame arrives at position  $x_C$  at time  $t_C$  for Event C. Event C coordinates are  $(t_C, x_C, 0, 0)$  and  $(t'_C, 0, 0, 0)$ . The Rocket origin is  $x' = 0$ .

Since  $v_R t_C = x_C$ , we have  $v_R (at'_C + bx'_C) = (ex'_C + ft'_C)$ .

With  $x'_C = 0$ , we have  $v_R at'_C = ft'_C \implies v_R a = f$  (Eqn. 5)

# Lorentz Transformations (18)

Finally, recall the interval invariant:

$$t'^2 - x'^2 = t^2 - x^2 .$$

$$t'^2 - x'^2 = (at' + bx')^2 - (ex' + ft')^2$$

From Eqn. 3, 4, and 5,  $b = f = v_R a$  and  $e = a$

$$t'^2 - x'^2 = (at' + v_R ax')^2 - (ax' + v_R at')^2$$

After expanding the squares and collecting like terms, we get:

$$t'^2 - x'^2 = a^2(1 - v_R^2)(t'^2 - x'^2)$$

Thus,  $a^2(1 - v_R^2) = 1$

Finally,  $a = e = (1 - v_R^2)^{-1/2}$ , and  $b = f = v_R(1 - v_R^2)^{-1/2}$  .



# Lorentz Transformations (19)

With traditional notation,  $\gamma = (1 - v_R^2)^{-1/2}$ . Note  $\gamma \geq 1$ .

Lorentz transformations are then

$$t = \gamma(t' + v_R x') \quad x = \gamma(x' + v_R t') \quad y = y' \quad z = z' .$$

Inverse transformations are obtained by exchanging prime and unprime coordinates and reversing the sign of  $v_R$ , with reverse velocity direction,


$$t' = \gamma(t - v_R x) \quad x' = \gamma(x - v_R t) \quad y' = y \quad z' = z .$$

For conventional units, introduce powers of  $c$  for proper dimensions:

$$\gamma = (1 - (v_R/c)^2)^{-1/2} \quad t = \gamma(t' + v_R x' / c^2) \quad x = \gamma(x' + v_R t')$$

# Lorentz Transformations (20)

Inertial Reference Frames: origins and axes overlap at  $t = t' = 0$ .



Lab frame is stationary. Rocket frame moves with speed  $v_R$  in +x-direction.

Units are chosen so that  $c = 1$ ,

e. g. distance in m and time in light-meters,

where  $1 \text{ light-m} = (1 \text{ m})/c = 3.3 \text{ ns}$ ,  $v_R < 1$  is measured as a fraction of  $c$ .

Let  $\gamma = (1 - v_R^2)^{-1/2}$  Note  $\gamma \geq 1$ .

$$t = \gamma(v_R x' + t') \quad x = \gamma(x' + v_R t') \quad y = y' \quad z = z'$$

$$t' = \gamma(-v_R x + t) \quad x' = \gamma(x - v_R t) \quad y' = y \quad z' = z$$

$$(\Delta\tau)^2 = (\Delta t)^2 - (\Delta x)^2 = (\Delta t')^2 - (\Delta x')^2 \quad \Delta\tau = \text{invariant proper time between events}$$

# Lorentz Transformations (21)

Let  $\gamma = (1 - v_R^2)^{-1/2}$       **Note  $\gamma \geq 1$ .**

## Time dilation:

A clock at the origin of the Rocket frame ( $x' = 0$ ) has time  $t'$  between tics. As measured by clocks in the Lab frame, the time between tics of the Rocket clock is  $t = \gamma t' > t'$ .      The Rocket clock appears to run slow.

## Length contraction:

A stick at rest on the  $x'$ -axis in the Rocket frame has one end at  $x'_0 = 0$  and the other at  $x'_1 = L$ . When the positions of both ends are measured in the Lab frame at  $t = 0$ , one end is at  $x_0 = 0$  and the other end at  $x_1 = \gamma x'_1$ , so that  $x_1 = x'_1 / \gamma = L / \gamma < L$ .      The stick appears shorter in the Lab.

# Galilean Transformations

When  $v_R \ll 1$  ( $c = 1$ ),  $\gamma \cong 1$  and Lorentz transformations are approximated by

$$t = 1(t' + v_R x') \text{ and } x = 1(x' + v_R t')$$

or

$$t = t' \quad \text{and} \quad x = x' + v_R t'.$$

For slow velocities,  $t'$  (light-m) is vastly greater than  $x'$  (m).

Since  $v_R \ll 1$ , we have  $v_R x' \ll t'$ , even though  $v_R t'$  can be greater than  $x'$ . Thus, event times are approximately the same in both frames.

Galilean transformations approximate the Lorentz transformations for low velocities of relative motion compared to  $c$ .

# Time Dilation

The 1962 film “[Time Dilation: An Experiment with Mu-Mesons](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rbzt8gDSYIM)” (35:40) ( <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rbzt8gDSYIM> ) was made when muons were still called mu-mesons.

The experiment with cosmic ray muons presents a dramatic demonstration of extreme time dilation, as viewed in the Earth frame, and extreme length contraction, as viewed in the muon frame.

The mean lifetime of muons can be calculated from QuarkNet cosmic ray detector data in the “Mean Lifetime Part 2: Cosmic Muons” activity in the Data Activities Portfolio on the QuarkNet website.

# Gravitational Influence on Clocks (1)

Einstein proposed his General Relativity (GR) in 1915 to account for accelerating reference frames. Karl Schwarzschild in 1916 found a solution to Einstein's field equations for the case of a spherically symmetric, non-spinning, non-electrically charged mass. The solution relates measurements of space and time coordinates of events that occur in space at a distance  $r$  from the center of the mass  $M$ . The time between tics ( $t_r$ ) of a clock at distance  $r$  compared to the time between tics ( $t_\infty$ ) of a clock a great distance ( $r = \infty$ ) from the mass is given by

$$\frac{t_r}{t_\infty} = \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right)}, \text{ where } G, M, r, c, \text{ and both } t \text{ values are in SI units.}$$

# Gravitational Influence on Clocks (2)

Joseph Hafele and Richard Keating in 1971 measured the effect of slightly different gravity fields on clocks when they booked seats for cesium atomic clocks to accompany them on eastward and westward round-the-world flights on commercial airliners. The travel times for the moving clocks were compared to the times registered on clocks that remained stationary at the U. S. Naval Observatory.

Within uncertainties, the differences in clock times matched those predicted by combining the special and general relativity effects.

*(Science* **177**, (pp.166-170)

# Gravitational Influence on Clocks (3)

More recently with strontium clock of much greater precision, Jun Ye was able to measure the difference of rates of clocks with a vertical separation of 1 mm.

A text description of the experiment is available at:

<https://www.snexplores.org/article/a-new-clock-shows-how-gravity-warps-time-even-over-tiny-distances>

A video summary can be found at:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=thb8Wr4kg94&t=7s>



# Black Holes

Note that the expression  $\frac{t_r}{t_\infty} = \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right)}$  goes to zero when  $r_{EH} = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$ . The  $r_{EH}$  value is the radius of the Event Horizon around a Schwarzschild black hole of mass  $M$ . Calculate  $r_{EH}$  for the following:

Earth Mass  $M_E = 6 \times 10^{24}$  kg  $r_{EEH} = ?$

Sun Mass  $M_S = 2 \times 10^{30}$  kg =  $3.3 \times 10^5 M_E$   $r_{SEH} = ?$

SgrA\* Mass  $M_{SgrA^*} = 4 \times 10^6 M_S$   $r_{SgrA^*EH} = ?$

# Black Holes

Note that the expression  $\frac{t_r}{t_\infty} = \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right)}$  goes to zero when  $r_{EH} = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$ . The  $r_{EH}$  value is the radius of the Event Horizon around a Schwarzschild black hole of mass  $M$ . Calculate  $r_{EH}$  for the following:

Earth Mass  $M_E = 6 \times 10^{24}$  kg

$r_{EEH} = 9 \times 10^{-3}$  m = 1 cm

Sun Mass  $M_S = 2 \times 10^{30}$  kg =  $3.3 \times 10^5 M_E$   $r_{SEH} = 2.9 \times 10^3$  m = 2.9 km

SgrA\* Mass  $M_{SgrA^*} = 4 \times 10^6 M_S$

$r_{SgrA^*EH} = 1.2 \times 10^{10}$  m = 0.1 AU

# Navigation Programs

The 2019 video “[How Does GPS Actually work and Why Many GPS Devices are About to Stop Working](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CnwAJrDikgU)” (14:35) gives a brief history of the navigation system and how it works. It omits reference to relativistic corrections.

( <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CnwAJrDikgU> )

# Google Maps and GPS

- Google Maps combines a set of maps, images, and other information about places on Earth with current location information determined by your phone acting as a GPS receiver.
- The Global Positioning System (GPS) is a constellation of at least 24 satellites (currently 31, including spares). The satellites, maintained by the U. S. Space Force, are in orbits at 20,200 km above Earth or 26,580 km from Earth's center with orbital period is 12 hours. Satellite orbits are inclined at 55 degrees to Earth's equator so that at least four are above the horizon at any one time at almost any place on Earth.
- The U. S. Space Force continually tracks the satellites with ground-based radars to verify their positions, synchronize their clocks, and update their onboard ephemeris equations and almanac data. The satellite continually broadcast positions clock times and satellite positions in space calculated from ephemeris and almanac data.

# GPS and Relativity

The following analysis is adapted from the Wikipedia article “Error analysis for the Global Positioning System”

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Error\\_analysis\\_for\\_the\\_Global\\_Positioning\\_System#:~:text=In%20the%20context%20of%20GPS,body\)%20appear%20to%20tick%20slower.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Error_analysis_for_the_Global_Positioning_System#:~:text=In%20the%20context%20of%20GPS,body)%20appear%20to%20tick%20slower.)

## Kinetic Time Dilation (1)

The factor by which clocks in the GPS satellites tick slower, due to satellite velocity, than clocks stationary on Earth is determined using the Lorentz transformation. Time measured by an object with velocity  $v$  compared to a stationary object is given by (the inverse of) the Lorentz factor,  $\gamma$ :

$$\frac{t_{GPS}}{t_{Earth}} = \frac{1}{\gamma} = \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$$

For small values of  $v/c$ , this ratio, by the binomial approximation, is :

$$\frac{t_{GPS}}{t_{Earth}} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \cong 1 - \frac{v^2}{2c^2}$$

The GPS satellites, with orbit radius about 26580 km and 12-hour orbit period, move at about 3870 m/s relative to Earth's center.

# Kinetic Time Dilation (2)

We thus calculate:

$$\frac{t_{GPS}}{t_{Earth}} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \cong 1 - \frac{v^2}{2c^2} \cong 1 - \frac{(3870 \text{ m/s})^2}{2(2.998 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})^2} \cong 1 - 8.332 \times 10^{-11}$$

This value of  $-8.332 \times 10^{-11}$  represents the difference in the rate by which the GPS satellite clocks tick slower than Earth-stationary clocks. That rate difference multiplied by the number of nanoseconds in a day yields the nanoseconds per day lost by GPS clocks relative to Earth clocks due to satellite speed:

$$(-8.332 \times 10^{-11})(86400 \text{ s/day})(10^9 \text{ ns/s}) \cong -7210 \text{ ns/day}$$

In other words, the GPS satellite clocks are slower than clocks at rest on Earth by 7210 nanoseconds per day due to GPS satellite clock velocity.

# Kinetic Time Dilation (3)

Note that this speed of 3870 m/s as measured relative to Earth's center rather than its surface where the GPS receivers (and users) are. This is because Earth's equipotential makes net time dilation equal across its geodesic surface. That is, the combination of Special and General effects makes the net time dilation at the equator equal to that of the poles, which in turn are at rest relative to the center. Hence, we use the center as a reference point to represent the entire surface.

# Gravitational Time Dilation (1)

The Schwarzschild metric gives the relation between time kept by a stationary clock at distance  $r$  from a spherical mass  $M$  compared to the time kept by a stationary clock far away ( $r \cong \infty$ ) from the mass.

$$\frac{t_r}{t_\infty} = \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right)}$$

where  $t_r$  is the time passed between events, *e. g.* clock ticks, measured by a clock at a distance  $r$  from the center of the Earth and  $t_\infty$  is the time passed between the events as measured by a far-away observer.  $G$  is the Newtonian gravitation constant, and  $M$  is the Earth mass for the case of clocks on Earth and clocks in GPS satellites.

For small values of  $GM/(c^2 r)$  this ratio is approximately:

$$\frac{t_r}{t_\infty} \cong 1 - \frac{GM}{c^2 r}$$



# Gravitational Time Dilation (2)

The clocks in the GPS satellites orbiting in a weaker gravitational field at a distance of about 4.2 Earth radii from Earth's center tick faster than identical clocks on Earth by a ratio:  $t_{GPS}/t_{Earth}$  :

$$\frac{t_{GPS}}{t_{Earth}} = \frac{t_{GPS}/t_{\infty}}{t_{Earth}/t_{\infty}} \cong \left(1 - \frac{GM}{c^2 r_{GPS}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{GM}{c^2 r_{Earth}}\right)^{-1} \cong \left(1 - \frac{GM}{c^2 r_{GPS}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{GM}{c^2 r_{Earth}}\right)$$

$$\frac{t_{GPS}}{t_{Earth}} \cong 1 + \left(\frac{GM}{c^2 r_{Earth}} - \frac{GM}{c^2 r_{GPS}}\right) \cong 1 + 5.307 \times 10^{-10},$$

for  $r_{Earth} = 6,357,000$  m,  $r_{GPS} = 26,541,000$  m, Earth  $M = 5.974 \times 10^{24}$  kg,

$G = 6.674 \times 10^{-11}$  m<sup>3</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-2</sup>, and  $c = 2.998 \times 10^8$  m/s.

# Gravitational Time Dilation (3)

The value  $5.307 \times 10^{-10}$  represents the fraction by which the clocks at GPS satellite's altitude tick faster than identical clocks on the surface of Earth. This fraction multiplied by the number of nanoseconds in a day yields the nanoseconds per day gained by GPS clocks relative to Earth clocks due to the difference in the local gravitational field:

$$(+5.307 \times 10^{-10})(86400 \text{ s/day})(10^9 \text{ ns/s}) \cong +45850 \text{ ns/day}$$

Thus, the satellites' clocks gain 45850 nanoseconds a day due to gravitational time dilation.

# Combined Time Dilation Effects

These effects are added together to give (rounded to 10 ns):

$$45850 - 7210 = 38640 \text{ ns/day}$$

Hence, the satellites' clocks gain approximately 38,640 nanoseconds a day or 38.6  $\mu\text{s}$  per day due to relativistic effects in total.

To compensate for this gain, a GPS clock's frequency needs to be slowed by the fraction:

$$5.307 \times 10^{-10} - 8.349 \times 10^{-11} = 4.472 \times 10^{-10}$$

This fraction is subtracted from 1 and multiplied by the pre-adjusted clock frequency of 10.23 MHz:

$$(1 - 4.472 \times 10^{-10}) \times 10.23 = 10.22999999543$$

In other words, we need to slow the clocks down from 10.23 MHz to 10.22999999543 MHz to negate both time dilation effects.

# Sources of User Equivalent Range Errors

Source	Effect (m)
Signal arrival C/A	$\pm 3$
Signal arrival P(Y)	$\pm 0.3$
Ionospheric effects	$\pm 5$
Ephemeris errors	$\pm 2.5$
Satellite clock errors	$\pm 2$
Multipath distortion	$\pm 1$
Tropospheric effects	$\pm 0.5$
$3\sigma R$ C/A (code)	$\pm 6.7$
$3\sigma R$ P(Y) (code)	$\pm 6.0$

# Conclusion

Every time we use a GPS receiver that accurately tell us where we are, we verify predictions of Einstein's special and general relativity theories.

Thank you, Albert, for helping us find our way home!

